



In partnership with

Alliance for Logistics Innovation through Collaboration in Europe



Low Emission Fuels and Vehicles

Presentation to La Fabrique de la Logistique

25 March 2021



Smart Freight Centre

Introduction

Mission:

- Dedicated NGO to global zero-emissions freight and logistics
- We cover all freight and only freight

Our role:

 Guide companies, advocacy, and raise awareness to reduce logistics emissions







GLEC Framework

The only globally recognized methodology to calculate GHG emissions consistently across the multi-modal logistics supply chain





Version 2.0



Smart Freight

Centre







Objective: Remove barriers for companies' uptake of LEFV solutions



The challenge for selecting a Low Emission Fuel and **Vehicle?**



Breakdown of Emissions



 Feedstock matters

 Origin of electricity matters



Variability Within Fuel Type

The difference is explained in the feedstock used for the production

^[11] The most representative pathway shown in the graph is based on the JEC v5 study. However, several pathways are still open to market and technical development. For instance, the most representative pathway for Liquified Biomethane (LBM) is from using the feedstock Open Manure, whereas the Compressed Biomethane most representative pathway is based on the Municipal waste. This explains some of the variation in the 'red' dot.



Biofuels (HVO)

Well-to-wheel GHGs

Variation in HVO Emission Factors



Fossil and Biofuels

Breakdown of Upstream Emissions

Feedstock matters



Smart Freight Centre

Efficiency of energy used

 Hydrogen requires 2-3x the energy consumed

 eFuels require 3-4x the energy consumed



Notes: Efficiency rates of long-haul HGVs. To be understood as approximate mean values taking into account different production methods. Direct electrification represents both BEVs running on batteries and/or overhead catenaries. Hydrogen includes onboard fuel compression, while power-to-methane includes fuel liquefaction. Assuming same engine efficiency for diesel and dual-fuel HPDI gas vehicles. Excluding mechanical losses.

Sources: Transport & Environment





80

....

INANDUN

www.granotrade.cl

RANDON

JP-53 45

10100

RANDON



LEFV Decision Making Matrix

LEFV Decision Making Template

Determine in three steps the potential solutions:



Step 1. Determine Applicability of LEFV solutions to your use case Step 2. Determine Availability of LEFV solutions in your market Step 3. Determine Feasibility of the LEFV solutions in cost and emissions

Step 1. Determine applicable LEFV solutions

Questions of step 1



Step 1. Determine applicable LEFV solutions

Scope and definitions

Timeframe:

- Year of introduction
- Duration
- Outlook up to 2030

Fuels/Powertrain:

- Biofuels (2nd & 3rd generation)
 - Biodiesel (B20/B100)
 - HVO
 - LBM / CBM
 - Biomethanol
 - Bioethanol
- Electric
 - BEV
 - E-Highways
- Green Hydrogen
 - FCEV 350 bar / 700 bar
 - FCEV Liquified Hydrogen

Operating Range

- Urban / Short Trip
- Regional ±100-300 km
- Extended-Regional 300-500 km
- Long Distance 500+ km
- International 1000+km

Vehicle category or Payload

- < 1.3 tonnes;</pre>
- 1.3 3.5;
- **3.5** 7.5;
- 7.5 12;
- **1**2 17.5,
- 17.5 26;
- **>**26

Step 1. Determine applicable LEFV solutions

Output shows the Low emission fuel solutions in a particular year (evolvement of technology); Final categories and chosen solution to be made by each company.

	Urban	100-300 km	300-500 km	500- 1000km	1000km+
1-[0T – 1.3T]	Electric	Electric	Biofuel	Biofuel	Intermodal
2-[1.3T – 3.5T]	Electric	Electric	Biofuel	Biofuel	Intermodal
3-[3.5T – 7.5T]	Electric	Electric	Biofuel	Biofuel	Intermodal
4-[7.5T – 12T]	Electric	Electric	Biofuel	Biofuel	Intermodal
5-[12T – 17.5T]	Biofuel	Biofuel	Biofuel	Biofuel	Intermodal
6-[17.5T – 26T]	Biofuel	Biofuel	Biofuel	Biofuel	Intermodal
7-[26T+]	Biofuel	Biofuel	Biofuel	Biofuel	Intermodal

2025 concept (example only)

2030 answer (example only)

	Urban	100-300 km	300-500 km	500- 1000km	1000km+
1-[0T – 1.3T]	Electric	Electric	Electric	Electric/ Hydrogen	Intermodal
2-[1.3T – 3.5T]	Electric	Electric	Electric	Electric/ Hydrogen	Intermodal
3-[3.5T – 7.5T]	Electric	Electric	Electric	Electric/ Hydrogen	Intermodal
4-[7.5T – 12T]	Electric	Electric	Electric	Electric/ Hydrogen	Intermodal
5-[12T – 17.5T]	Electric	Electric	Electric	Electric/ Hydrogen	Intermodal
6-[17.5T – 26T]	Electric	Electric	Electric/ Hydrogen	Electric/ Hydrogen	Intermodal
7-[26T+]	Electric	Electric	Electric/ Hydrogen	Electric/ Hydrogen	Intermodal

Source: Example derived from Unilever

Step 2. Determine available LEFV solutions

Questions



Step 2. Determine available LEFV solutions

Scope and definitions

Location

- City [Paris, Nice, Lyon, …]
- Province
 [Bretagne,
 Riviera, etc.]
- Corridor [Lyon-Paris]

Vehicle supply

- Category (from step 1)
- Manufacturer [Renault, Toyota, Scania, …]
- Model

Fuel supply and Infrastructure

- Type [Recharging/ Refuelling]
- Ownership [Public, Own or subcontracted]
- Fuel quantity [availability of selected fuel]
- Fuel certification

Legal requirements

- Restrictions

 [zero emission zones vs low emission zones]
- Norms and standards [e.g. fuel emission/ economy standards]

Step 2. Determine available LEFV solutions

Output: Which vehicles and infrastructure are available Guidance: Legal considerations, reliability, etc.

Vehicles



Source: Global Commercial Drive To Zero Program (globaldrivetozero.org)

Infrastructure

Refuelling networks



Source: Véhicules et Avitaillement | Kit Environnement (terre-tlf.fr)



Step 3. Determine feasible LEFV solutions

Questions



Step 3. Determine feasible LEFV solutions

Scope and definitions

Investment

- Vehicle purchase,
- Fuel infrastructure
- Retrofitting
- Other (e.g. training, licensing, etc.)
- Resale price

Incl. available subsidies in each category

Operational cost

- Fuel/energy per unit
- Insurance
- Taxes
- Toll
- Maintenance
- Other

GHG Abatement costs

- CO₂e (shadow) pricing
- Carbon offset
- Social costs of carbon

Total Emissions of Ownership

- CO₂e of operating the vehicle
- Well to tank and tank to wheel; (iLUC)
- Emission factors may change over time (e.g. more renewable electricity)

Step 3. Determine feasible LEFV solutions

Output: Calculations based on the anticipated usage of total emissions and cost of ownership

Total Emissions of Ownership (TEO)



Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)





Summary

No straightforward answer on which solution to take

- Consider the feedstock and origin of the fuel and ensure it is certified
- TEO in addition to TCO
- Apply Applicability, Availability, Feasibility









Join our journey towards efficient and zero-emissions global freight and logistics

Rik Arends Technical Manager <u>rik.arends@smartfreightcentre.org</u>

